

## Math 2050, alternative proof to uniform continuity theorem

**Theorem 0.1.** *Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  is uniform continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We let  $S$  be the subset of  $[a, b]$  containing  $c \in [a, b]$  such that we can find  $\delta > 0$  so that for all  $x, y \in [a, c]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

Clearly,  $a \in S$  trivially. By completeness of real number,  $s = \sup S$  exists. By continuity of  $f$  at  $x = a$ , we must have  $s \in (a, b]$ . We want to show that  $s = b \in S$ . If this is the case, then we can find  $\delta > 0$  so that for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ , we will have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

Then we are done.

Suppose  $s < b$ , since  $f$  is continuous at  $x = s$  we can find  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in (s - \delta_0, s + \delta_0) \subsetneq [a, b]$ , we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - f(s)| + |f(y) - f(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $s = \sup S$  and  $a < s - \delta_0 < \sup S$ , there exists  $s_1 \in S$  such that  $s - \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 < s_1 \leq \sup S$ . In particular, we can find  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in [a, s_1]$  with  $|x - y| < \delta_1$ , we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

Now we claim that  $s + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 \in S$ . For all  $x, y \in [a, s + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0]$  where  $|x - y| < \delta_2 = \min\{\delta_1, s_1 - s + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0\}$ , we have either  $x, y \in [a, s_1]$  or  $x, y \in [s - \frac{1}{2}\delta_0, s + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0]$  since  $|x - y| < s_1 - s + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$  (draw the graph to visualize it).

In the first case, since  $|x - y| < \delta_1$  we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

In the second case, since  $x, y \in (s - \delta_0, s + \delta_0)$ , we also have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

That said,  $s + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 \in S$  implying contradiction. Hence  $s = b$ . Moreover, taking  $s = b$  in the above argument, we see that  $s = b \in S$ .

□